# **Delay Constrained Secrecy Capacities in OFDMA** Wireless Networks with Causal CSI

## Arsenia Chorti<sup>1,2</sup> Katerina Papadaki<sup>3</sup>, Panagiotis Tsakalides<sup>1</sup> and H. Vincent Poor<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Computer Science, Foundation for Research and Technology-Hellas <sup>2</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, Princeton University <sup>3</sup> Department of Management, London School of Economics email: {achorti, poor} @princeton.edu, k.p.papadaki@lse.ac.uk, {achorti, ptsakalides} @ics.forth.gr

### **NTRODUCTION**

**OFDMA** network with

• *K* legitimate users,



### **2. PROBLEM FORMULATION**

We assume a Rayleigh channel with i.i.d. realizations  $h_i^{(m)}$ . Indices  $k^*$  and  $j^*$  denote the legitimate user and eavesdropper with the highest SNRs in the respective sets.

- *E* eavesdroppers,
- Max SNR channel allocation
- The k-th user is allocated  $M^{(k)}$ channels

M block fading (BF) Gaussian channel with

- *M* blocks of *N* symbols
- *N* >> so that capacities are reached

### **3. POWER CONTROL WITH SHORT-TERM POWER CONSTRAINT**

**Definition:** The instantaneous secrecy capacity of the *M*-BF Gaussian channel for a vector of input powers  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_M)$  is given by:

$$C_{s}^{(M)} \doteq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left[ \log \frac{1 + \alpha_{m} \gamma_{m}}{1 + \beta_{m} \gamma_{m}} \right]$$

$$k_{m}^{*} = \arg \max \alpha_{m}, \alpha_{m} = \left| h_{k_{m}^{*}}^{(m)} \right|^{2}, m \in \{1, \dots, M\}$$
$$j_{m}^{*} = \arg \max \beta_{m}, \beta_{m} = \left| h_{j_{m}^{*}}^{(m)} \right|^{2}, m \in \{1, \dots, M\}$$

We transmit Gaussian codewords  $\mathbf{x}_a$ . The observation vectors at the respective receivers can be written as:

$$\mathbf{y}_{a} = \mathbf{H}_{a}\mathbf{x}_{a} + \mathbf{n}_{a}, \mathbf{H}_{a} = \text{diag}\left(\mathbf{h}_{k_{1}^{*}}^{(1)}, \mathbf{h}_{k_{2}^{*}}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{k_{M}^{*}}^{(M)}\right),$$
$$\mathbf{y}_{b} = \mathbf{H}_{b}\mathbf{x}_{a} + \mathbf{n}_{b}, \mathbf{H}_{b} = \text{diag}\left(\mathbf{h}_{j_{1}^{*}}^{(1)}, \mathbf{h}_{j_{2}^{*}}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{j_{M}^{*}}^{(M)}\right),$$
$$\mathbf{h}_{i}^{(m)} = h_{i}^{(m)}\mathbf{I}_{N}, i \in \left\{k_{m}^{*}, j_{m}^{*}: m = 1, \dots, M\right\}$$



#### **PROBABILITY OF SECRECY OUTAGE IN BANDWIDTH LIMITED SYSTEMS**

In the high SNR region  $\gamma_m \rightarrow \infty$  the probability of secrecy outage with respect to a threshold secrecy capacity τ is evaluated in the absence of cooperation and when full diversity is exploited. Numerical evaluations are given in Figs 1 and 2.



Fig 1: Probability of secrecy outage in the absence of cooperation

#### **ONE SIDED CHANNEL INVERSION WITH LEGITIMATE USER CSI ONLY AT THE TRANSMITTER**

When M=1 and the legitimate user CSI is known at the transmitter only, we perform onesided channel inversion under a frame based short-term power constraint P. Assuming the diversity is fully exploited, the secrecy capacity can be written as

$$E[C_{s}^{(M)}] = \log\left(1 + \frac{K-1}{K}P\right) - \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{x} \log\left(1 + \frac{y}{x}\frac{K-1}{K}P\right) \frac{KEx^{K-1}y^{E-1}e^{-x}e^{-y}}{(K-1)!(E-1)!} dxdy, \text{ with } \frac{1}{M}\sum_{m=1}^{M} \gamma_{m} \le P$$

#### **SECURE WATER-FILLING WITH FULL CSI AT BOTH THE TRANSMITTER AND THE RECEIVER**

We assume that the pairs of channel gains ( $\alpha_m$ ,  $\beta_m$ ) are permuted so that the **differences**  $\delta_m = \alpha_m - \beta_m$  appear in non-decreasing order. There exists a unique integer  $\mu$  such that for  $m > \mu$  the waterlevel is zero. Otherwise, the **shifted waterlevel** can be expressed as



$$\gamma_m^* \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) + \frac{1}{\alpha_m} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sqrt{\delta_m^2 + \frac{4}{\lambda} \delta_m} + \delta_m \right], m < \mu$$

Fig 2:Probability of secrecy outage with full diversity

#### 4. POWER CONTROL WITH BLOCK CSI AND LONG-TERM POWER CONSTRAINT

We assume an **overall long-term** power constraint over T transmission frames in the form  $T^{-1}\sum \gamma^{(t)} \leq P$ . We gain causal access to the channel gains at the best legitimate user during frame t,  $\alpha^{(t)}$  and at the best eavesdropper during frame t,  $\beta^{(t)}$ . Our objective, given that we have remaining power  $p_t$  is to identify the power allocation that maximizes the instantaneous secrecy capacity and the secrecy capacity of future transmissions. We formulate the following dynamic program:

$$V_{t}(p_{t}) = \max_{0 \le \gamma^{(t)} \le p_{t}} \mathbb{E}\left[R_{s}(\gamma^{(t)}, \alpha^{(t)}, \beta^{(t)}) + V_{t+1}(p_{t} - \gamma^{(t)})\right],$$
  
where  $R_{s}(\gamma^{(t)}, \alpha^{(t)}, \beta^{(t)}) = \left[\log \frac{1 + \alpha^{(t)}\gamma^{(t)}}{1 + \beta^{(t)}\gamma^{(t)}}\right]^{+}$ , and  $V_{T}(p_{T}) = 0$  (resources exhausted)